July 26, 2011

Ms. Cynthia T. Brown
Chief, Section of Administration
Office of Proceedings
Surface Transportation Board
395 E. Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20423

230694

Office of Proceedings

JUL 26 2011

Part of
Public Record

RE: STB Finance Docket No. 35498, Adrian & Blissfield Rail Road Company-Continuance-in-Control-Charlotte Southern Railroad Company, Detroit Connecting Railroad Company, and Lapeer Industrial Railroad Company

Dear Ms. Brown:

I, Dale R. Pape, as a shareholder of the Adrian & Blissfield Rail Road Company (ADBF) and Party of Record in this matter, feel compelled to comment on ADBF's recent response to my comments regarding their filing and ADBF's failure, once again, to follow the Board's instructions. I find it inconceivable that the ADBF would disregard the procedural requirements set down by the Board given the amount of time and effort that has already been expended due to ADBF repeatedly not doing so in the past.

I believe it has been well established that Mr. Dobronski's filings have provided false and misleading information. I commend the Board for having the patience it has to this point with Mr. Dobronski. But Mr. Dobronski continues to provide false and misleading information. In the interest of respecting the valuable time of the Board, I will limit my comment to just the most blatant of Mr. Dobronski's newest false and misleading statements.

Within Mr. Dobronski's filing of June 30, 2011, as footnote 5, page 9, the statement is made:

"In fact, Judge Dobronski retired from his position."

One needs only to reference page 2 of the February 22, 2002 Order of the Supreme Court of Arizona (Exhibit D of Scott Cole's July 11, 2011 comment and attached here as Exhibit A) to realize how false and misleading this statement is. It reads:

Ms. Cynthia Brown July 26, 2011 Page 2

"IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent Dobronski shall never again seek or hold judicial office in the State of Arizona."

If Mr. Dobronski merely "retired" as he indicates, why would the Supreme Court of Arizona seem so compelled to make absolutely certain Mr. Dobronski never return to judicial office? I believe the Board should consider making a similar decision baring Mr. Dobronski from ever filing further petitions with the Board.

In the interest of the Board's valuable time and efforts, the Board should require the ADBF to designate an individual, other than Mr. Dobronski, with creditability and the ability to follow the Board's instructions to conduct all future communication with the Board. The interests of all involved would be best served by doing so.

I also believe the ADBF's failure to follow the Board's requirements of serving upon each Party of Record all filings previously submitted until ADBF's failure to do so was identified by Mr. Cole, is justification for the Board to deny ADBF's Application for Continuance-in-Control. The Board can't set a precedent and allow its procedural requirements to become merely "guidelines". The ADBF needs to finally designate a person that can adhere to the Board's requirements accurately and in a timely fashion.

Therefore, based on the ADBF once again filing false and misleading information and by not following the procedural requirements of the Board, I ask that the Board deny the ADBF Application for Continuance-In-Control and bar Mr. Dobronski from being involved in any further filings with the Board.

7

Respectfully Submitted,

Dale R. Pape Shareholder

Adrian & Blissfield Rail Road Company

EXHIBIT A

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

FILED
FEB 2 2 2002

In the Matter of Judge

) Arizona Supreme Court) Nos. JC-01-0001 and) JC-01-0002

MARK W. DOBRONSKI, Justice of the Peace, Scottsdale Justice Court

Commission on Judicial Conduct Nos. 00-046 and 01-272

Maricopa County, State of Arizona

ORDER

Respondent.

The Court has considered the two Petitions to Modify the recommendations of the Commission on Judicial Conduct in these two cases and makes the following rulings:

IT IS ORDERED denying the Petition to Modify in JC-01-0001. Respondent Dobronski is ordered to pay the Commission on Judicial Conduct \$25,000 for attorney's fees plus the costs incurred by the Commission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED granting in part the Petition to Modify in JC-01-0002. Respondent Dobronski is ordered to pay the Commission on Judicial Conduct \$5,000 in attorney's fees plus the costs incurred by the Commission. In all other respects, the Petition to Modify is denied.

Page 2 of 2 JC-01-0001 and JC-01-0002

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent Dobronski shall never again seek or hold judicial office in the State of Arizona.

DATED this 22nd day of February, 2002.

CHARLES E. JONE Chief Justice

TO:
Mark W. Dobronski, Respondent (Certified Mail)
David G. Derickson, Counsel for Respondent
John D. Everroad and Scott L. Altes, Disciplinary Counsel
E. Reith Stott, Director, Commission on Judicial Conduct

lkk

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that copies of the foregoing letter containing the comments of Dale R. Pape have been served upon the following via first-class mail, postage prepaid, this 26th day of July, 2011:

John D. Heffner, PLLC 1750 K Street, N.W., Suite 200 Washington, DC 20006

Scott C. Cole 2700 Noon Road Jackson, MI 49201

Gabriel D. Hall 7846 West Central Avenue Toledo, OH 43617

Dale R. Pape